	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/582,779	POMPEJUS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Guzo	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel S. Kim</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 July 2003</u>	Time: <u>9:20 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-2		
Prior art documents discussed: None		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a writ e record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
D:07-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner returned a call from applicants concerning the allowability of claims 1-2. The previous Office Action indicated that claims 1-2 were allowed but the PTO-326 form indicated that they were rejected. The examiner left a message that claims 1-2 are indeed allowed and that the PTO-326 form inadvertantly listed them as rejected.